

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O But 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,172	05/01/2007	Nissim Mass	1874-4045	1224
27123 7590 11/20/2008 MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER			EXAMINER	
			HARMON, CH	RISTOPHER R
NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/20/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOPatentCommunications@Morganfinnegan.com Shopkins@Morganfinnegan.com jmedina@Morganfinnegan.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/517,172 MASS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Christopher R. Harmon 3721 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 October 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4.5.8-11.13-16.27.28.30 and 33-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-16 and 30 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,8-11,27,28 and 33-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/05

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/517,172 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 13-16 and 30 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37
CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected ***, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 10/2/08.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 35(1a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,172

Art Unit: 3721

4. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 8-11, 27-28, 33-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and (e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Mass et al. (US 6,984,431).

Mass et al. disclose a bale wrapping device for wrapping a bale of an agricultural product with a composite material of various possible films 11-14 (see figure 9) comprising a continuous netting with a multiplicity of openings and segments of a water impervious material connected thereto, including a centerline cut. The alternate rejection is provided because the description of the different layers of figure 9 is not sufficiently clear as to the layering of the segments (ie. that one is a breathable layer as previously described for use in the invention), however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a breathable impervious layer for one of the segments of the embodiment of figure 9 in order to provide a layer with desirable characteristics in wrapping the bale.

Claims 1-2, 4-5, 8-11, 27-28, 33-34, 36-38, 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.
103(a) as being unpatentable over Ackermann (EP 0233471) in view of Sheth (US 4,929,303).

Ackermann disclose a bale wrapping device for wrapping a bale of an agricultural product with a composite material comprising a continuous netting 17 with a multiplicity of openings and intermittent layers of a water impervious material 12 connected thereto. It is uncertain if the water impervious material 12 is substantially vapor permeable however Sheth teaches material that is water impervious and breathable for use as

Art Unit: 3721

tarpaulins and agricultural ground covers; see column 2, lines 35+. The panels 12 are shorter in length yet wider than the netting (considered substantially equal) providing portions that are not affected by lengthwise stretching of the netting material.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a breathable water impervious film such as taught by Sheth in the invention to Ackermann for providing the water impervious layering. Further note that it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Harmon whose telephone number is (571) 272-4461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher R Harmon/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3721